

# SHARCS vs. SWIFFT

D. J. Bernstein

University of Illinois at Chicago

Thanks to: NSF ITR-0716498

EUROCRYPT '97,

Bellare–Micciancio: Compress

$(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{16})$  to  $B$ -bit output

$f_1(m_1) + f_2(m_2) + \dots + f_{16}(m_{16})$ .

FSE 2008, yesterday, Lyubashevsky–  
Micciancio–Peikert–Rosen: “SWIFFT” ;  
“provable security” ;  $B = 512$ ;  
fastest known collision attack  
“takes time at least  $2^{106}$   
and requires almost as much space.”

SHARCS 2007, Bernstein:

time  $2^{B/13} \approx 2^{40}$

using circuit of size  $2^{2B/13} \approx 2^{80}$ .

Or time  $2^{B/7}$ , circuit size  $2^{B/7}$ .

Many other tradeoffs possible.

[cr.yp.to/papers.html#genbday](http://cr.yp.to/papers.html#genbday)

Also some analysis of constants:

[cr.yp.to/papers.html#expandxor](http://cr.yp.to/papers.html#expandxor)

SHARCS talk also mentioned idea—  
not written up yet—

to achieve slightly better exponents:

e.g., time  $2^{2B/15}$ , size  $2^{2B/15}$ .